Exhibit 1

Email exchange between Plaintiff and counsel for Theriva Biologics, Inc., November 23–24, 2026, Notice of Electronic Filing for ECF No. 59, and Courtroom Administrator's email of November 24, 2026 with Theriva's reply (printed from Plaintiff's Gmail; times shown are Central)

Nejmeh v. Theriva Biologics, Inc., No. 3:26-cv-00705-ART-CSD

Gmail **RealRoofers Mark Nejmeh <realroofers@gmail.com>**

Draft Joint Stipulation re SAC - Theriva

1 message

Young, Elizabeth V. <elizabeth.young@blankrome.com> Mon, Nov 23, 2026 at 8:58 AM
To: Mark Nejmeh <research@warrantwire.com>
Cc: Adam Hosmer-Henner <ahosmerhenner@mcdonaldcarano.com>, "Hambelton, Andrew" <andrew.hambelton@blankrome.com>

Mark –

As you know, our response to your motion to amend is due Friday, and our response to the First Amended Complaint is due December 1. In order to makes things more efficient, Theriva is willing to consent to the filing of the Second Amended Complaint and maintain the December 1 deadline for Theriva's response to the Second Amended Complaint. Let us know if Plaintiff agrees with this approach and consents to the filing of the stipulation.

Best,

Elizabeth

Elizabeth V. Young | BLANKROME

1271 Avenue of the Americas | New York, NY 10020

direct: +1.212.885.5364 | mobile: +1.646.280.8599

elizabeth.young@blankrome.com | bio

****

This message and any attachments may contain confidential or privileged information and are only for the use of the intended recipient of this message. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the Blank Rome LLP or Blank Rome Government Relations LLC sender by return email, and delete or destroy this and all copies of this message and all attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, use, distribution, or reproduction of this message or any attachments is prohibited and may be unlawful.

****

Draft Joint Stipulation re SAC(159906848.1).doc
84K

Gmail **RealRoofers Mark Nejmeh <realroofers@gmail.com>**

Draft Joint Stipulation re SAC - Nejmeh v. Theriva Biologics, Inc., No. 3:26-cv-00705-ART-CSD

1 message

Mark Nejmeh <research@warrantwire.com> Mon, Nov 23, 2026 at 12:10 PM
To: "Young, Elizabeth V." <Elizabeth.Young@blankrome.com>, "Hambelton, Andrew" <Andrew.Hambelton@blankrome.com>, "McQueeney, Michael" <Michael.McQueeney@blankrome.com>, ahosmerhenner@mcdonaldcarano.com, kweil@mcdonaldcarano.com

Ms. Young,

Thank you for your email of November 23, 2026 and the proposed stipulation regarding my Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Verified Complaint (ECF No. 57).

1. The motion filed today. Today I filed, through CM/ECF, a Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Pleading **(ECF No. 58)** under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(d) and Local Rules 7-2(g) and 15-1. It sets out events that happened after November 13, 2026: Theriva's Board of Directors authorized a 1-for-30 reverse stock split on November 13, 2026; Theriva held its special meeting of stockholders on November 18, 2026; and on November 20, 2026 Theriva announced the reverse stock split, effective at 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on December 1, 2026 (Form 8-K, Accession No. 0001104659-26-130644). Please tell me whether Theriva consents to, or will not oppose, that motion. I will inform the Court of Theriva's position.
2. The proposed stipulation. I do not accept the proposed stipulation, and in particular paragraph 4 and the matching recital. Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a)(2), the Court "should freely give leave when justice so requires," and leave is denied only for reasons such as undue delay, bad faith, repeated failure to cure, undue prejudice, or futility. Foman v. Davis, 371 U.S. 178, 182 (1962); Eminence Capital, LLC v. Aspeon, Inc., 316 F.3d 1048, 1052 (9th Cir. 2003). Rule 15(d) allows a supplemental pleading setting out events after the pleading's date, and its use "is therefore favored." Keith v. Volpe, 858 F.2d 467 (9th Cir. 1988). I will not waive in advance any right the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure give me to amend or supplement my pleading, and nothing in this email is such a waiver. The recitals also describe ECF No. 42 as a motion for a temporary restraining order; it was filed and docketed as an Emergency Motion for Preliminary Injunction.
3. Preservation. Under 15 U.S.C. 78u-4(b)(3)(C)(i), a party with actual notice of the allegations must treat all relevant documents, data compilations and tangible objects in its custody or control as if they were the subject of a continuing request for production. I ask that Theriva and the individual defendants preserve, without limitation, all board and committee materials, minutes, resolutions and communications concerning the reverse stock split authorized on November 13, 2026 and the November 18, 2026 special meeting; any filing or planned filing of the Authorized Increase Charter Amendment; any change or proposed change to the exercise price of the New Warrants; and communications from October 1, 2026 forward with NYSE American, Broadridge, D.F. King, Equiniti, Alliance Global Partners, and the holders of the New Warrants.
4. Conference. I would be glad to meet and confer in person at your New York office on Monday, November 30, 2026, consistent with Local Rule IA 1-3(f). Please propose a time that day.

I remain willing to confer in good faith on any matter in this case.

Happy Holidays to all.

Mark Joseph Nejmeh
Plaintiff, self-represented
P.O. Box 589
Clifton, New Jersey 07012
732-995-3914
research@warrantwire.com

Gmail **RealRoofers Mark Nejmeh <realroofers@gmail.com>**

RE: Draft Joint Stipulation re SAC - Nejmeh v. Theriva Biologics, Inc., No. 3:26-cv-00705-ART-CSD

1 message

Young, Elizabeth V. <elizabeth.young@blankrome.com> Mon, Nov 23, 2026 at 12:43 PM
To: Mark Nejmeh <research@warrantwire.com>, "Hambelton, Andrew" <andrew.hambelton@blankrome.com>, "McQueeney, Michael" <Michael.McQueeney@blankrome.com>, "ahosmerhenner@mcdonaldcarano.com" <ahosmerhenner@mcdonaldcarano.com>, "kweil@mcdonaldcarano.com" <kweil@mcdonaldcarano.com>

Mark,

As you are not willing to discuss a stipulation, I do not see any reason to meet.

Elizabeth

Elizabeth V. Young | BLANKROME

1271 Avenue of the Americas | New York, NY 10020

direct: +1.212.885.5364 | mobile: +1.646.280.8599

elizabeth.young@blankrome.com | bio

From: Mark Nejmeh <research@warrantwire.com>
Sent: Monday, November 23, 2026 1:11 PM
To: Young, Elizabeth V. <elizabeth.young@blankrome.com>; Hambelton, Andrew <andrew.hambelton@blankrome.com>; McQueeney, Michael <Michael.McQueeney@blankrome.com>; ahosmerhenner@mcdonaldcarano.com; kweil@mcdonaldcarano.com
Subject: Draft Joint Stipulation re SAC - Nejmeh v. Theriva Biologics, Inc., No. 3:26-cv-00705-ART-CSD

Ms. Young,

Thank you for your email of November 23, 2026 and the proposed stipulation regarding my Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Verified Complaint (ECF No. 57).

1. The motion filed today. Today I filed, through CM/ECF, a Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Pleading **(ECF No. 58)** under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(d) and Local Rules 7-2(g) and 15-1. It sets out events that happened after November 13, 2026: Theriva's Board of Directors authorized a 1-for-30 reverse stock split on November 13, 2026; Theriva held its special meeting of stockholders on November 18, 2026; and on November 20, 2026 Theriva announced the reverse stock split, effective at 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on December 1, 2026 (Form 8-K, Accession No. 0001104659-26-130644). Please tell me whether Theriva consents to, or will not oppose, that motion. I will inform the Court of Theriva's position.

2. The proposed stipulation. I do not accept the proposed stipulation, and in particular paragraph 4 and the matching recital. Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a)(2), the Court "should freely give leave when justice so requires," and leave is denied only for reasons such as undue delay, bad faith, repeated failure to cure, undue prejudice, or futility. Foman v. Davis, 371 U.S. 178, 182 (1962); Eminence Capital, LLC v. Aspeon, Inc., 316 F.3d 1048, 1052 (9th Cir. 2003). Rule 15(d) allows a supplemental pleading setting out events after the pleading's date, and its use "is therefore favored." Keith v. Volpe, 858 F.2d 467 (9th Cir. 1988). I will not waive in advance any right the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure give me to amend or supplement my pleading, and nothing in this email is such a waiver. The recitals also describe ECF No. 42 as a motion for a temporary restraining order; it was filed and docketed as an Emergency Motion for Preliminary Injunction.
3. Preservation. Under 15 U.S.C. 78u-4(b)(3)(C)(i), a party with actual notice of the allegations must treat all relevant documents, data compilations and tangible objects in its custody or control as if they were the subject of a continuing request for production. I ask that Theriva and the individual defendants preserve, without limitation, all board and committee materials, minutes, resolutions and communications concerning the reverse stock split authorized on November 13, 2026 and the November 18, 2026 special meeting; any filing or planned filing of the Authorized Increase Charter Amendment; any change or proposed change to the exercise price of the New Warrants; and communications from October 1, 2026 forward with NYSE American, Broadridge, D.F. King, Equiniti, Alliance Global Partners, and the holders of the New Warrants.
4. Conference. I would be glad to meet and confer in person at your New York office on Monday, November 30, 2026, consistent with Local Rule IA 1-3(f). Please propose a time that day.

I remain willing to confer in good faith on any matter in this case.

Happy Holidays to all.

Mark Joseph Nejmeh
Plaintiff, self-represented
P.O. Box 589
Clifton, New Jersey 07012
732-995-3914
research@warrantwire.com

****

This message and any attachments may contain confidential or privileged information and are only for the use of the intended recipient of this message. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the Blank Rome LLP or Blank Rome Government Relations LLC sender by return email, and delete or destroy this and all copies of this message and all attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, use, distribution, or reproduction of this message or any attachments is prohibited and may be unlawful.

****

Gmail **RealRoofers Mark Nejmeh <realroofers@gmail.com>**

Re: Draft Joint Stipulation re SAC - Nejmeh v. Theriva Biologics, Inc., No. 3:26-cv-00705-ART-CSD

1 message

Mark Nejmeh <research@warrantwire.com> Mon, Nov 23, 2026 at 1:16 PM
To: "Young, Elizabeth V." <Elizabeth.Young@blankrome.com>
Cc: "Hambelton, Andrew" <Andrew.Hambelton@blankrome.com>, "McQueeney, Michael" <Michael.McQueeney@blankrome.com>, ahosmerhenner@mcdonaldcarano.com, kweil@mcdonaldcarano.com

Ms. Young,

To be clear, I did not refuse to discuss a stipulation. I declined the proposed terms, in particular paragraph 4, and offered to meet in person to confer on any matter. That offer stands.

Please also let me know Theriva's position on my motion (ECF No. 58), so that I can report it to the Court.

Finally, NYSE American halted trading in Theriva's common stock at 10:47 a.m. Eastern today, citing a regulatory concern. Please tell me whether Theriva received any notice or communication from NYSE American about this, and when.

Mark Joseph Nejmeh

Gmail

RealRoofers Mark Nejmeh <realroofers@gmail.com>

Activity in Case 3:26-cv-00705-ART-CSD Nejmeh v. Theriva Biologics, Inc. Motion to Extend/Shorten Time

1 message

cmecf@nvd.uscourts.gov <cmecf@nvd.uscourts.gov> Mon, Nov 23, 2026 at 6:33 PM
To: cmecfhelpdesk@nvd.uscourts.gov

This is an automatic e-mail message generated by the CM/ECF system. Please DO NOT RESPOND to this e-mail because the mail box is unattended.
*****NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS*** Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing. However, if the referenced document is a transcript, the free copy and 30 page limit do not apply.**

United States District Court

District of Nevada

Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was entered by Hosmer-Henner, Adam on 11/23/2026 at 4:33 PM PST and filed on 11/23/2026

Case Name: Nejmeh v. Theriva Biologics, Inc.
Case Number: 3:26-cv-00705-ART-CSD
Filer: Theriva Biologics, Inc.
Document Number: 59

Docket Text:

MOTION to Extend Time (Second Request) *to Respond to First Amended Complaint Pending Resolution of Plaintiffs Motions for Leave to Amend and Supplement and Request for Status Conference* re [54] Amended Complaint,,,,, by Defendant Theriva Biologics, Inc.. Responses are due by 12/7/2026. (Hosmer-Henner, Adam) (answer)

3:26-cv-00705-ART-CSD Notice has been electronically mailed to:

Adam Hosmer-Henner   ahosmerhenner@mcdonaldcarano.com, jcarstenbrook@mcdonaldcarano.com, tparker@mcdonaldcarano.com

Andrew T Hambelton   andrew.hambelton@blankrome.com

Elizabeth V. Young   elizabeth.young@blankrome.com

Mark Joseph Nejmeh   research@warrantwire.com

Michael V. McQueeney   michael.mcqueeney@blankrome.com, courtmail@blankrome.com

3:26-cv-00705-ART-CSD Notice has been delivered by other means to:

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

Document description: Main Document
Original filename:n/a
Electronic document Stamp:
[STAMP dcecfStamp_ID=1101333072 [Date=11/23/2026] [FileNumber=12680659-0] [a2fc615d7f2f744808e915df95f89568a8d330547b33fd4f3295b6302a62c6328
4d74761d2b6c4ad4a8d92cd56e7692c5cdb6ab45e0155985c8f2425ae66d828]]

Gmail **RealRoofers Mark Nejmeh <realroofers@gmail.com>**

Nejmeh v. Theriva Biologics, No. 3:26-cv-00705-ART-CSD - request to confer today on ECF No. 59

1 message

Mark Nejmeh <research@warrantwire.com> Tue, Nov 24, 2026 at 8:36 AM
To: "Young, Elizabeth V." <Elizabeth.Young@blankrome.com>, "Hambelton, Andrew" <Andrew.Hambelton@blankrome.com>, "McQueeney, Michael" <Michael.McQueeney@blankrome.com>, ahosmerhenner@mcdonaldcarano.com, kweil@mcdonaldcarano.com

Ms. Young,

I would like to confer today, by phone, about Theriva's motion to extend time (ECF No. 59). Will Theriva agree to keep December 1 as its response date? I will try to call you now at your direct number. If I do not reach you, please call me today at 732-995-3914. If we cannot confer today, I will file my opposition on an emergency basis.

Mark Joseph Nejmeh

Gmail **RealRoofers Mark Nejmeh <realroofers@gmail.com>**

12 Noon deadline Update Nejmeh v. Theriva Biologics, No. 3:26-cv-00705-ART-CSD - request to confer today on ECF No. 59

1 message

Mark Nejmeh <research@warrantwire.com> Tue, Nov 24, 2026 at 8:42 AM
To: "Young, Elizabeth V." <Elizabeth.Young@blankrome.com>, "Hambelton, Andrew" <Andrew.Hambelton@blankrome.com>, "McQueeney, Michael" <Michael.McQueeney@blankrome.com>, ahosmerhenner@mcdonaldcarano.com, kweil@mcdonaldcarano.com

Ms. Young,

I would like to confer today, by phone, about Theriva's motion to extend time (ECF No. 59). Will Theriva agree to keep December 1 as its response date? I will try to call you now at your direct number. If I do not reach you, please call me at 732-995-3914 by 12:00 noon Eastern today. If we have not conferred by then, I will file my opposition on an emergency basis.

Mark Joseph Nejmeh

Gmail **RealRoofers Mark Nejmeh <realroofers@gmail.com>**

Nejmeh v. Theriva, 3:26-cv-00705 – today's call: please confirm

1 message

Mark Nejmeh <research@warrantwire.com> Tue, Nov 24, 2026 at 10:00 AM
To: "Young, Elizabeth V." <Elizabeth.Young@blankrome.com>, "Hambelton, Andrew" <Andrew.Hambelton@blankrome.com>, "McQueeney, Michael" <Michael.McQueeney@blankrome.com>, hosmerhenner@mcdonaldcarano.com, weil@mcdonaldcarano.com

Ms. Young,

Thank you for calling me back today.

First, a correction. On the call I said the reverse split happens at midnight on a Friday. That was wrong. Under Theriva's Form 8-K filed November 20, 2026 (Accession No. 0001104659-26-130644), the 1-for-30 reverse split takes effect at 11:59 p.m. Eastern on Tuesday, December 1, 2026, the same day Theriva's response to the complaint is due. Split-adjusted trading is to begin Wednesday, December 2, subject to NYSE American approval.

Second, you told me I should keep to one complaint, and asked how Theriva can answer a complaint that keeps changing. The pleadings change because Theriva keeps acting. The Board authorized the split on November 13 and announced it on November 20, after the stockholders voted on November 18. Trading was halted on November 23. Theriva should answer now, while its stockholders are dealing with the reverse split.

Third, Theriva's motion (ECF No. 59) was filed at 7:33 p.m. Eastern on November 23, three hours after Theriva's own 8-K reported the halt. The motion does not tell the Court that trading was halted, or that Theriva expects the halt to last until the split takes effect on December 1.

I was respectful on the call. I was driving and the line was noisy.

As I understood our conversation, Theriva will not agree to respond to the complaint by December 1. Please confirm in writing by 12:00 noon Eastern today. If I do not hear from you, I will file my opposition today on that understanding and ask the Court to hear it on an emergency basis.

Mark Joseph Nejmeh

Gmail **RealRoofers Mark Nejmeh <realroofers@gmail.com>**

Re: Nejmeh v. Theriva, 3:26-cv-00705 – today's call: please confirm

1 message

Young, Elizabeth V. <elizabeth.young@blankrome.com> Tue, Nov 24, 2026 at 10:46 AM
To: Mark Nejmeh <research@warrantwire.com>, "Hambelton, Andrew" <andrew.hambelton@blankrome.com>, "McQueeney, Michael" <Michael.McQueeney@blankrome.com>, "hosmerhenner@mcdonaldcarano.com" <hosmerhenner@mcdonaldcarano.com>, "weil@mcdonaldcarano.com" <weil@mcdonaldcarano.com>

Hi Mark, I am in transit but two things (1) I did not say Theriva will not respond by December 1 to the current pleading. What I said was that we have filed a motion to extend the deadline until the court resolves the various proposed pleadings that you have filed so we can only respond to one pleading rather than seriatim pleadings, that position is laid out in our motion to the Court; (2) I did not ask how the pleading keeps changing, I explained that without reference to the merit or lack thereof for any of your proposed pleadings, it is Theriva's position, as set out in our motion, that a response to the Complaint should be made after the motions to amend are resolved for the sake of the parties' and judicial efficiency.

Thanks,

Elizabeth V. Young | BLANKROME
1271 Avenue of the Americas | New York, NY 10020
direct: +1.212.885.5364 | mobile: +1.646.280.8599
elizabeth.young@blankrome.com | bio

From: Mark Nejmeh <research@warrantwire.com>
Sent: Tuesday, 24 November 2026 11:00:08
To: Young, Elizabeth V. <elizabeth.young@blankrome.com>; Hambelton, Andrew <andrew.hambelton@blankrome.com>; McQueeney, Michael <Michael.McQueeney@blankrome.com>; hosmerhenner@mcdonaldcarano.com <hosmerhenner@mcdonaldcarano.com>; weil@mcdonaldcarano.com <weil@mcdonaldcarano.com>
Subject: Nejmeh v. Theriva, 3:26-cv-00705 – today's call: please confirm

Ms. Young,

Thank you for calling me back today.

First, a correction. On the call I said the reverse split happens at midnight on a Friday. That was wrong. Under Theriva's Form 8-K filed November 20, 2026 (Accession No. 0001104659-26-130644), the 1-for-30 reverse split takes effect at 11:59 p.m. Eastern on Tuesday, December 1, 2026, the same day Theriva's response to the complaint is due. Split-adjusted trading is to begin Wednesday, December 2, subject to NYSE American approval.

Second, you told me I should keep to one complaint, and asked how Theriva can answer a complaint that keeps changing. The pleadings change because Theriva keeps acting. The Board authorized the split on November 13 and announced it on November 20, after the stockholders voted on November 18. Trading was halted on November 23. Theriva should answer now, while its stockholders are dealing with the reverse split.

Third, Theriva's motion (ECF No. 59) was filed at 7:33 p.m. Eastern on November 23, three hours after Theriva's own 8-K reported the halt. The motion does not tell the Court that trading was halted, or that Theriva expects the halt to last until the split takes effect on December 1.

I was respectful on the call. I was driving and the line was noisy.

As I understood our conversation, Theriva will not agree to respond to the complaint by December 1. Please confirm in writing by 12:00 noon Eastern today. If I do not hear from you, I will file my opposition today on that understanding and ask the Court to hear it on an emergency basis.

Mark Joseph Nejmeh

****

This message and any attachments may contain confidential or privileged information and are only for the use of the intended recipient of this message. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the Blank Rome LLP or Blank Rome Government Relations LLC sender by return email, and delete or destroy this and all copies of this message and all attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, use, distribution, or reproduction of this message or any attachments is prohibited and may be unlawful.

****

Gmail **RealRoofers Mark Nejmeh <realroofers@gmail.com>**

Nejmeh v. Theriva Biologics, Inc. (3:26-cv-00705-ART-CSD)

2 messages

Ashlyn Bye <Ashlyn_Bye@nvd.uscourts.gov> Tue, Nov 24, 2026 at 11:52 AM
To: "research@warrantwire.com" <research@warrantwire.com>, ahosmerhenner_mcdonaldcarano_com <ahosmerhenner@mcdonaldcarano.com>, "andrew.hambelton@blankrome.com" <andrew.hambelton@blankrome.com>, "elizabeth.young@blankrome.com" <elizabeth.young@blankrome.com>, "michael.mcqueeney@blankrome.com" <michael.mcqueeney@blankrome.com>

Good morning,

The Court is in receipt of the Defendant's motion for extension of time and request for status conference (ECF No. 59) and would like to schedule a telephonic status conference.

Please let me know if you are available to appear by telephone on Monday, December 7, 2026, at 11:00 a.m. (PST) (2:00 p.m. (EST)).

Pursuant to Judge Denney's Civil Standing Order, if you fail to respond to this correspondence within 48 hours, the hearing will be scheduled at a time convenient to the court.

Thank you!

Ashlyn Bye
Courtroom Administrator to the
Honorable Craig S. Denney
United States District Court
Reno, Nevada
(775) 686-5835

Young, Elizabeth V. <elizabeth.young@blankrome.com> Tue, Nov 24, 2026 at 1:03 PM
To: Ashlyn Bye <Ashlyn_Bye@nvd.uscourts.gov>, "research@warrantwire.com" <research@warrantwire.com>, ahosmerhenner_mcdonaldcarano_com <ahosmerhenner@mcdonaldcarano.com>, "Hambelton, Andrew" <andrew.hambelton@blankrome.com>, "McQueeney, Michael" <Michael.McQueeney@blankrome.com>

Ms. Bye,

That time works for Defendant Theriva. As the deadlines for the motion to amend and motion to dismiss will have passed by that time, should we expect the Court to issue a minute order addressing Defendants extension request before the conference? Our preference, if possible, would be to set a single schedule for responding to a single pleading at the conference.

Respectfully submitted,

Elizabeth V. Young | BLANKROME

1271 Avenue of the Americas | New York, NY 10020

direct: +1.212.885.5364 | mobile: +1.646.280.8599

elizabeth.young@blankrome.com | bio

From: Ashlyn Bye <Ashlyn_Bye@nvd.uscourts.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, November 24, 2026 12:53 PM
To: research@warrantwire.com; ahosmerhenner_mcdonaldcarano_com <ahosmerhenner@mcdonaldcarano.com>; Hambelton, Andrew <andrew.hambelton@blankrome.com>; Young, Elizabeth V. <elizabeth.young@blankrome.com>; McQueeney, Michael <Michael.McQueeney@blankrome.com>
Subject: Nejmeh v. Theriva Biologics, Inc. (3:26-cv-00705-ART-CSD)

Some people who received this message don't often get email from ashlyn_bye@nvd.uscourts.gov. Learn why this is important

[Quoted text hidden]

****

This message and any attachments may contain confidential or privileged information and are only for the use of the intended recipient of this message. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the Blank Rome LLP or Blank Rome Government Relations LLC sender by return email, and delete or destroy this and all copies of this message and all attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, use, distribution, or reproduction of this message or any attachments is prohibited and may be unlawful.

****